### Chapter 7 outline:

- Introduction, function equality, and anonymous functions (last week Friday)
- Image and inverse images (Monday)
- Function properties, composition, and applications to programming (Today)
- Cardinality (Friday)
- Countability (next week Monday)
- Review (Monday after Thanksgiving (Nov 28))
- ► Test 3, on Ch 6 & 7 (Wednesday after Thanksgiving (Nov 30))

## Today:


- Programming: map and filter √ did it last time
- ▶ Definition of one-to-one and onto, plus proofs
- Inverse functions
- ▶ Definition of function composition, plus proofs

## For next time:


Pg 346: 7.6.(2, 3, 6) Ex "7.5.(a-c)" on Schoology

Pg 351: 7.8.(1, 5, 6)


Skim 7.9



Not a function. (There's a domain element that is related to two things.)



Not a function. (There's a domain element that is not related to anything.)



# Onto (Surjection)

Everything in the codomain is hit.

$$f: X \to Y$$
 is onto if  $\forall y \in Y$ ,  
 $\exists x \in X \mid f(x) = y$ .

## Analytic use:

f is onto.

 $y \in Y$ .


Hence  $\exists x \in X$  such that f(x) = y.

# Synthetic use:

Suppose  $y \in Y$ .

:

(Somehow find x such that f(x) = y.) Therefore f is onto



# One-to-one (Injection)

Domain elements don't share.


$$f$$
 is one-to-one if  $\forall x_1, x_2 \in X$ , if  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  then  $x_1 = x_2$ .

## Analytic use:

f is one-to-one.  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Hence  $x_1 = x_2$ .

## Synthetic use:


Suppose  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . : (Somehow show  $x_1 = x_2$ .) Therefore f is one-to-one.




Onto

 $|X| \ge |Y|$ 

(not one-to-one)





(not onto)  $|X| \leq |Y|$ 



Both onto and one-to-one


$$|X| = |Y|$$





*f* is one-to-one.


**Proof.** Suppose  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . [Want  $x_1 = x_2$ ] Then, by how f is defined,



f is one-to-one.

**Proof.** Suppose  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . [Want  $x_1 = x_2$ ] Then, by how f is defined,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{x_1}{2} & = & \frac{x}{2} \\ x_1 & = & x \end{array}$$




f is one-to-one.

**Proof.** Suppose  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . [Want  $x_1 = x_2$ ] Then, by how f is defined,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{x_1}{2} & = & \frac{x_2}{2} \\ x_1 & = & x_2 \end{array}$$

Therefore f is one-to-one by definition.  $\square$ 

f is onto.



f is one-to-one.

**Proof.** Suppose  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . [Want  $x_1 = x_2$ ] Then, by how f is defined,


$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{x_1}{2} & = & \frac{x_2}{2} \\ x_1 & = & x_2 \end{array}$$

Therefore f is one-to-one by definition.  $\square$ 

f is onto.

**Proof.** Suppose  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . [Want x such that f(x) = y.]





f is one-to-one.

**Proof.** Suppose  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . [Want  $x_1 = x_2$ ] Then, by how f is defined,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{x_1}{2} & = & \frac{x_2}{2} \\ x_1 & = & x_2 \end{array}$$


Therefore f is one-to-one by definition.  $\square$ 

f is onto.


**Proof.** Suppose  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . [Want x such that f(x) = y.] Let x = 2y. Then

$$f(x) = \frac{2y}{2} \\ = y$$

Therefore f is onto by definition  $\square$ 



Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) = x^2$ . Is f one-to-one? Is it onto?



$$f(2) = 2^2 = 4$$

$$f(2) = 2^2 = 4$$
  
 $f(-2) = (-2)^2 = 4$ 


f is no onto.

Let 
$$y = -1$$
.


$$\not\exists \ x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } f(x) = -1.$$

**Ex 7.6.4.** If  $A \subseteq X$  and f is one-to-one, then  $F^{-1}(F(A)) \subseteq A$ .

(Ex 7.4.9 was, Prove  $A \subseteq F^{-1}(F(A))$ , and Ex 7.4.10 was, Find a counterexample for  $A = F^{-1}(F(A))$ .)



**Ex 7.6.5.** If  $A \subseteq Y$  and f is onto, then  $A \subseteq F(F^{-1}(A))$ .



Inverse relation:  $R^{-1} = \{(y, x) \in Y \times X \mid (x, y) \in R\}$ 

Since a function is a relation, a function has an inverse, but we don't know that the inverse of a function is a function.

If  $f: X \to Y$  is a **one-to-one correspondence**, then

$$f^{-1}: Y \to X = \{(y, x) \in Y \times X \mid f(x) = y\}$$

is the *inverse function* of f.

**Theorem 7.8** If  $f: X \to Y$  is a one-to-one correspondence, then  $f^{-1}: Y \to X$  is well defined.

**Proof.** Suppose  $y \in Y$ . Since f is onto, there exists  $x \in X$  such that f(x) = y. Hence  $(y, x) \in f^{-1}$  or  $f^{-1}(y) = x$ .

Further suppose  $(y, x_1), (y, x_2) \in f^{-1}$  (That is, suppose that both  $f^{-1}(y) = x_1$  and  $f^{-1}(y) = x_2$ .) Then  $f(x_1) = y$  and  $f(x_2) = y$ . Since f is one-to-one,  $x_1 = x_2$ .

Therefore, by definition of function,  $f^{-1}$  is well defined.  $\square$ 

Relation composition: If R is a relation from X to Y and S is a relation from Y to Z, then  $S \circ R$  is the relation from X to Z defined as

$$S \circ R = \{(x, z) \in X \times Z \mid \exists y \in Y \text{ such that } (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in S\}$$

Function composition: If  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$ , then  $g \circ f: X \to Z$  is defined as

$$g \circ f = \{(x,z) \in X \times Z \mid z = g(f(x))\}$$

**Theorem 7.9** If  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  are functions, then  $g \circ f: X \to Z$  is well defined.


**Proof.** Suppose  $x \in X$ . Since f is a function, there exists a  $y \in Y$  such that f(x) = y. Since g is a function, there exists a  $z \in Z$  such that g(y) = z. By definition of composition,  $(x, z) \in g \circ f$ , or  $g \circ f(x) = z$ .

Next suppose  $(x, z_1), (x, z_2) \in g \circ f$ , or  $g \circ f(x) = z_1$  and  $g \circ f(x) = z_2$ . By definition of composition, there exist  $y_1, y_2$  such that  $f(x) = y_1$ ,  $f(x) = y_2$ ,  $g(y_1) = z_1$ , and  $g(y_2) = z_2$ . Since f is a function,  $y_1 = y_2$ . Since g is a function,  $z_1 = z_2$ .

Therefore, by definition of function,  $g \circ f$  is well defined.  $\square$ 

Function composition: If  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$ , then  $g \circ f: X \to Z$  is defined as

$$g \circ f = \{(x, z) \in X \times Z \mid x = g(f(x))\}\$$




Let 
$$f(x) = 3x$$

Let 
$$g(x) = x + 7$$

Then


$$g \circ f(x) = f(x) + 7$$
$$= 3x + 7$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  are both onto.




**Proof.** Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  are both onto.

[Now, we want to prove "ontoness." Of which function?




**Proof.** Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  are both onto.

[Now, we want to prove "ontoness." Of which function?  $g \circ f$ . How do we prove ontoness?



**Proof.** Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  are both onto.


[Now, we want to prove "ontoness." Of which function?  $g \circ f$ . How do we prove ontoness? We pick something from the codomain of the function we're proving to be onto and show that it is hit. What is the codomain of  $g \circ f$ ?



**Proof.** Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  are both onto.

[Now, we want to prove "ontoness." Of which function?  $g \circ f$ . How do we prove ontoness? We pick something from the codomain of the function we're proving to be onto and show that it is hit. What is the codomain of  $g \circ f$ ? Z.]


Further suppose  $z \in Z$ . [We need to come up with something in the domain of  $g \circ f$  that hits z. The domain is X. We will use the fact that f and g are both onto.]



**Proof.** Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  are both onto.

[Now, we want to prove "ontoness." Of which function?  $g \circ f$ . How do we prove ontoness? We pick something from the codomain of the function we're proving to be onto and show that it is hit. What is the codomain of  $g \circ f$ ? Z.]

Further suppose  $z \in Z$ . [We need to come up with something in the domain of  $g \circ f$  that hits z. The domain is X. We will use the fact that f and g are both onto.]




By definition of onto, there exists  $y \in Y$  such that g(y) = z. Similarly there exists  $x \in X$  such that f(x) = y. Now,

$$g \circ f(x) = g(f(x))$$
 by definition of function compose  
=  $g(y)$  by substitution  
=  $z$  by substitution

Therefore  $g \circ f$  is onto by definition.  $\square$ 

**Ex 7.8.5.** If  $f: X \to Y$ ,  $g: X \to Y$  and  $h: Y \to Z$ , h is one-to-one, and  $h \circ f = h \circ g$ , then f = g.



### For next time:

Pg 346: 7.6.(2, 3, 6)

Ex "7.5.(a-c)" on Schoology

Pg 351: 7.8.(1, 5, 6)

Skim 7.9