

## Chapter 6, Hash tables:

- ▶ General introduction; separate chaining (week-before Wednesday)
- ▶ Open addressing (week-before Friday)
- ▶ Hash functions (last week Monday)
- ▶ Perfect hashing (**Today**)
- ▶ Hash table performance (Wednesday)
- ▶ (Start Ch 7, Strings, Thursday (in lab) and Friday)


## Today:

- ▶ Perfect hashing anticipated
  - ▶ Motivation
  - ▶ Goals
- ▶ Perfect hashing accomplished
  - ▶ Definition of universal hashing
  - ▶ Hash function class  $\mathcal{H}_{pm}$
  - ▶ Theorems and proofs
- ▶ Perfect hashing applied
  - ▶ The design of a perfect hashing scheme
  - ▶ The given code for the project

A hashing scheme must reduce the occurrence of collisions and “deal” with them when they happen.

- ▶ *Separate chaining*, where  $m < n$ , deals with collisions by chaining keys together in a bucket.
- ▶ *Open addressing*, where  $n < m$ , deals with collisions by finding an alternate location.
- ▶ *Perfect hashing* deals with collisions by preventing them altogether.

This topic is parallel with the *optimal BST problem*: What if we knew the keys ahead of time? What if we got to choose the hash function based on what keys we have?



Let  $\mathcal{H}$  stand for a *class* of hash functions (a set of hash functions defined by some formula).

Let  $m$  be the number of buckets.

$\mathcal{H}$  is *universal* if

$$\forall k, \ell \in \text{Keys}, \quad |\{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid h(k) = h(\ell)\}| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{H}|}{m}$$

$\mathcal{H}$  is *universal* if

$$\forall k, \ell \in \text{Keys}, \quad |\{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid h(k) = h(\ell)\}| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{H}|}{m}$$

One particular *family* of *classes* of hash functions, given  $p$ , a prime number greater than all keys, and  $m$ , the number of buckets, is denoted  $\mathcal{H}_{mp}$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_{mp} = \{ h_{ab}(k) = ((ak + b) \bmod p) \bmod m \mid a \in [1, p) \text{ and } b \in [0, p) \}$$

**Theorem**  $\mathcal{H}_{pm}$  is universal.

**Proof.** Suppose  $p$  and  $m$  as specified earlier. Suppose  $k, \ell \in \text{Keys}$ , and  $h_{ab} \in \mathcal{H}_{pm}$  (which implies supposing that  $a \in [1, p)$  and  $b \in [0, p)$ ).

Let  $r = (a \cdot k + b) \bmod p$  and  $s = (a \cdot \ell + b) \bmod p$

Subtracting gives us

$$\begin{aligned} r - s &\equiv (a \cdot k + b) - (a \cdot \ell + b) \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv a \cdot (k - \ell) \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

Now  $a$  cannot be 0 because  $a \in [1, p)$ . Similarly  $k - \ell$  cannot be 0, since  $k \neq \ell$ . Hence  $a \cdot (k - \ell) \neq 0$ .

Since  $p$  is prime and greater than  $a$ ,  $k$ , and  $\ell$ , it cannot be a factor of  $a \cdot (k - \ell)$ . In other words,  $a \cdot (k - \ell) \bmod p \neq 0$ . By substitution,  $r - s \neq 0$ , and so  $r \neq s$ .

By another substitution,  $(a \cdot k + b) \bmod p \neq (a \cdot \ell + b) \bmod p$ .

Define the following function, given  $k$  and  $\ell$ , which maps from  $(a, b)$  pairs to  $(r, s)$  pairs (formally,  $[1, p) \times [0, p) \rightarrow [1, p) \times [0, p)$ ):

$$\phi_{k\ell}(a, b) = ((a \cdot k + b) \bmod p, (a \cdot \ell + b) \bmod p)$$

Now consider the inverse of that function.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{k\ell}^{-1}(r, s) &= (((r - s) \cdot (k - \ell)^{-1}) \bmod p, (r - ak) \bmod p) \\ &= (a, b)\end{aligned}$$

The existence of  $\phi^{-1}$  implies that  $\phi$  is a one-to-one correspondence. Hence for each  $(a, b)$  pair, there is a unique  $(r, s)$  pair. Since the pair  $(a, b)$  specifies a hash function, that means that for each hash function in the family  $\mathcal{H}_{pm}$ , there is a unique  $(r, s)$  pair.

There are  $p-1$  possible choices for  $a$  and  $p$  choices for  $b$ , so there are  $p \cdot (p-1)$  hash functions in family  $\mathcal{H}_{pm}$ . Likewise there are  $p$  choices for  $r$ , and for each  $r$  there are  $p-1$  choices for  $s$  (since  $s \neq r$ ). Thus we can partition the set  $\mathcal{H}_{pm}$  into  $p$  subsets by  $r$  value, each subset having  $p-1$  hash functions.

For a given  $r$ , at most one out of every  $m$  can have an  $s$  that is equivalent to  $r \bmod m$ , in other words, at most  $\frac{p-1}{m}$  hash functions.

Now sum that for all  $p$  of the subsets of  $\mathcal{H}_{pm}$ , and we find that the number of hash functions for which  $k$  and  $\ell$  collide are

$$p \cdot \frac{p-1}{m} = \frac{p \cdot (p-1)}{m} = \frac{|\mathcal{H}_{pm}|}{m}$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{H}_{pm}$  is universal by definition.  $\square$

**Theorem [Probability of any collisions.]** *If  $Keys$  is a set of keys,  $m = |Keys|^2$ ,  $p$  is a prime greater than all keys, and  $h \in \mathcal{H}_{pm}$ , then the probability that any two distinct keys collide in  $h$  is less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ .*

**Proof.** *Suppose we have a set  $Keys$ ,  $m = |Keys|^2$ ,  $p$  is a prime greater than all keys, and  $h \in \mathcal{H}_{pm}$ .*

*Consider the number of pairs of unique keys. The number of pairs of keys is*

$$\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n!}{2! \cdot (n-2)!} = \frac{n!}{2 \cdot (n-2)!} = \frac{n \cdot (n-1) \cdot \cancel{(n-2)!}}{2 \cdot \cancel{(n-2)!}} = \frac{n \cdot (n-1)}{2}$$



Since  $\mathcal{H}_{pm}$  is universal, each pair collides with probability  $\frac{1}{m}$ . Multiply that by the number of pairs, and the expected number of collisions is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{n \cdot (n-1)}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{m} &< \frac{n^2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{m} \quad \text{since } n \cdot (n-1) < n^2 \\ &= \frac{n^2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} \quad \text{since } m = n^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{by cancelling } n^2\end{aligned}$$

With the expected number of collisions less than one half, the probability there are any collisions is also less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ .  $\square$

$$h(k) = (93, 0) \in \mathcal{H}_{101 \ 10}$$



$$h_3(k) = (47, 22) \in \mathcal{H}_{101 \ 4}$$

78	88		
----	----	--	--

$$h_2(k) = (56, 15) \in \mathcal{H}_{101 \ 9}$$

73					68	39		
----	--	--	--	--	----	----	--	--

$$h_8(k) = (0, 0) \in \mathcal{H}_{101 \ 0}$$

95
----

$$h_7(k) = (0, 0) \in \mathcal{H}_{101 \ 0}$$

85
----

$$h_1(k) = (0, 0) \in \mathcal{H}_{101 \ 0}$$

53
----

$$h_6(k) = (1, 100) \in \mathcal{H}_{101 \ 4}$$

65	94		
----	----	--	--

## Coming up:

*Do **Open Addressing Hashtable** project (due Mon, Dec 1)*

*Do **Perfect hashing** project (due mon, Dec 8)*

*Due **Mon, Dec 1***

*Read Sections 7.(4 & 5)*

*(No practice problems or quiz)*

*Due **Wed, Dec 3***

*Re-read the last part of Section 7.3*

*Take quiz*

*Due **Fri, Dec 5***

*Read Section 8.1*

*Do Exercises 8.(4 & 5)*

*Take quiz*