The description of a switch statement in the first edition of Tucker and Noonan is plain wrong, but very instructive. Their grammar is

For semantics, they suggest an equivalence between switches and a series of ifs, that is

```
switch (e) {
    case v1 : s1
    case v2: s2
    ...
    case vn: sn
    default: sn+1
}
is equivalent to
if (e == v1) s1
else if (e==v2) s2
    ...
else if (e==vn) sn
else sn+1
```

How many errors can you find in this?