Chapter 6, Hash tables:

- General introduction; separate chaining (week-before Friday)
- Open addressing (last week Wednesday)
- Hash functions (last week Friday)
- Perfect hashing (Today)
- Hash table performance (Wednesday)
- (Start Ch 7, Strings, Thursday (in lab) and Friday)

Today:

- Perfect hashing anticipated
- Motivation
- Goals
- Perfect hashing accomplished
- Definition of universal hashing
- Hash function class $\mathscr{H}_{p m}$
- Theorems and proofs
- Perfect hashing applied
- The design of a perfect hashing scheme
- The given code for the project

A hashing scheme must reduce the occurrence of collisions and "deal" with them when they happen.

- Separate chaining, where $m<n$, deals with collisions by chaining keys together in a bucket.
- Open addressing, where $n<m$, deals with collisions by finding an alternate location.
- Perfect hashing deals with collisions by preventing them altogether.

This topic is parallel with the optimal BST problem: What if we knew the keys ahead of time? What if we got to choose the hash function based on what keys we have?


Let $\mathscr{H}$ stand for a class of hash functions（a set of hash functions defined by some formula）．

Let $m$ be the number of buckets．
$\mathscr{H}$ is universal if
$\forall k, \ell \in$ Keys，$|\{h \in \mathscr{H} \mid h(k)=h(\ell)\}| \leq \frac{|\mathscr{H}|}{m}$
$\mathscr{H}$ is universal if

$$
\forall k, \ell \in \text { Keys, } \quad|\{h \in \mathscr{H} \mid h(k)=h(\ell)\}| \leq \frac{|\mathscr{H}|}{m}
$$

One particular family of classes of hash functions, given $p$, a prime number greater than all keys, and $m$, the number of buckets, is denoted $\mathscr{H}_{m p}$ :

$$
\mathscr{H}_{m p}=\left\{h_{a b}(k)=((a k+b) \bmod p) \bmod m \mid a \in[1, p) \text { and } b \in[0, p)\right\}
$$

Theorem $\mathscr{H}_{p m}$ is universal.
Proof. Suppose $p$ and $m$ as specified earlier. Suppose $k, \ell \in$ Keys, and $h_{a b} \in \mathscr{H}_{p m}$ (which implies supposing that $a \in[1, p$ ) and $b \in[0, p)$ ).
Let $r=(a \cdot k+b) \bmod p$ and $s=(a \cdot \ell+b) \bmod p$
Subtracting gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
r-s & \equiv(a \cdot k+b)-(a \cdot \ell+b) & & \bmod p \\
& \equiv a \cdot(k-\ell) & & \bmod p
\end{aligned}
$$

Now a cannot be 0 because $a \in[1, p)$. Similarly $k-\ell$ cannot be 0 , since $k \neq \ell$. Hence a $\cdot(k-\ell) \neq 0$.
Since $p$ is prime and greater than $a, k$, and $\ell$, it cannot be a factor of $a \cdot(k-\ell)$. In other words, $a \cdot(k-\ell) \bmod p \neq 0$. By substitution, $r-s \neq 0$, and so $r \neq s$.
By another substitution, $(a \cdot k+b) \bmod p \neq(a \cdot \ell+b) \bmod p$.

Define the following function, given $k$ and $\ell$, which maps from $(a, b)$ pairs to $(r, s)$ pairs $($ formally, $[1, p) \times[0, p) \rightarrow[1, p) \times[0, p)$ ):

$$
\phi_{k \ell}(a, b)=((a \cdot k+b) \quad \bmod p,(a \cdot \ell+b) \bmod p)
$$

Now consider the inverse of that function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{k \ell}^{-1}(r, s) & \left.=\left(\left((r-s) \cdot(k-\ell)^{-1}\right) \bmod p\right),(r-a k) \bmod p\right) \\
& =(a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

The existence of $\phi^{-1}$ implies that $\phi$ is a one-to-one correspondence. Hence for each $(a, b)$ pair, there is a unique $(r, s)$ pair. Since the pair $(a, b)$ specifies a hash function, that means that for each hash function in the family $\mathscr{H}_{p m}$, there is a unique $(r, s)$ pair.

There are $p-1$ possible choices for $a$ and $p$ choices for $b$, so there are $p \cdot(p-1)$ hash functions in family $\mathscr{H}_{p m}$. Likewise there are $p$ choices for $r$, and for each $r$ there are $p-1$ choices for $s$ (since $s \neq r$ ). Thus we can partition the set $\mathscr{H}_{p m}$ into $p$ subsets by $r$ value, each subset having $p-1$ hash functions. For a given $r$, at most one out of every $m$ can have an $s$ that is equivalent to $r$ mod $m$, in other words, at most $\frac{p-1}{m}$ hash functions.
Now sum that for all $p$ of the subsets of $\mathscr{H}_{p m}$, and we find that the number of hash functions for which $k$ and $\ell$ collide are

$$
p \cdot \frac{p-1}{m}=\frac{p \cdot(p-1)}{m}=\frac{\left|\mathscr{H}_{p m}\right|}{m}
$$

Therefore $\mathscr{H}_{p m}$ is universal by definition.

Theorem [Probability of any collisions.] If Keys is a set of keys, $m=\mid$ Keys $\left.\right|^{2}, p$ is a prime greater than all keys, and $h \in \mathscr{H}_{p m}$, then the probability that any two distinct keys collide in $h$ is less than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Suppose we have a set Keys, $m=\mid$ Keys $\left.\right|^{2}$, $p$ is a prime greater than all keys, and $h \in \mathscr{H}_{p m}$.
Consider the number of pairs of unique keys. The number of pairs of keys is

$$
\binom{n}{2}=\frac{n!}{2!\cdot(n-2)!}=\frac{n!}{2 \cdot(n-2)!}=\frac{n \cdot(n-1) \cdot(n-2)!}{2 \cdot(n-2)!}=\frac{n \cdot(n-1)}{2}
$$

Since $\mathscr{H}_{p m}$ is universal, each pair collides with probability $\frac{1}{m}$. Multiply that by the number of pairs, and the expected number of collisions is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{n \cdot(n-1)}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{m} & <\frac{n^{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{m} & & \text { since } n \cdot(n-1)<n^{2} \\
& =\frac{n^{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{2}} & & \text { since } m=n^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} & & \text { by cancelling } n^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

With the expected number of collisions less than one half, the probability there are any collisions is also less than $\frac{1}{2}$.

$$
h(k)=(93,0) \in \mathscr{H}_{10110}
$$



## Coming up:

Do Open Addressing Hashtable project (due Mon, Apr 15) Do Perfect hashing project (due mon, Apr 22)

Due Tues, Apr 16 (end of day)
Read Sections 7.(4 \& 5)
(No practice problems or quiz)
Due Wed, Apr 19 (end of day)
Re-read the last part of Section 7.3
Take quiz
Due Fri, Apr 19 (end of day)
Read Section 8.1
Do Exercises 8.(4 \& 5)
Take quiz

