The nature of data unit:

- Objects and vectors (today)
- ► K nearest neighbors classification (Friday)
- ► (Start linear regression next week)

Today:

- ► Follow-up: Libraries
- Ways to think about data
- Variable naming conventions
- Meet the datasets
- Feature selection
- ► The curse of dimensionality
- ► Term project (and other assignments)

- I want to follow up on a few things about the recent lob & demo - lab: numpy - mastering this would take some effort & it's not no just note slicing, fancy & hoolen indexing, vectorized motplotlib - by the end of the senester, use this in your find prese pandas - Late sets etc. - not so critical to us but sometimes useful Scikit learn - Mille Toldy and Sons the tein - has some data sets like ins - clossifica The nature of data But doday I want to lay the ground wage for thinking about data Data as vectors From objects to vectors Understanding data is crucial to making the abstract ideas of machine learning techniques concrete and understandable. This topic—the nature of data—is put together to clarify some of the things I was unclear on when I started studying ML techniques and related areas. Why, for example, is linear algebra (matrices and vectors) so fundamental? Why is all data treated as a sequence of vectors? Consider our textbook. While not all data is numerical, it is often useful to consider data in a numerical format. In this book, we assume that data has already been appropriately converted into a numerical representation suitable for reading into a computer program. Therefore, we think of data as vectors. As another illustration

of how subtle words are, there are (at least) three different ways to think about vectors: a vector as an array of numbers (a computer science view), a vector as an arrow with a direction

and magnitude (a physica view) --- 1

Why Python library would you use for each purpose?

Efficient arrays. numpy

Data visualization. pandas

Managing large datasets. matplotlib

Machine learning tools. Scikit-learn, sklearn

```
Address
                ZIP
                       Price
                              Taxes Year Sq ft
                                                  Flood plain
 214 Hickory
                27148
                       $417K
                              $12K
                                     1987
                                           2120
                                                  Ν
 17 W Riverview
                30921
                       $295K $7K
                                     1927
                                           3015
 55 Park NE
                81066 $528K $22K
                                     2012
                                           3525
                                                  Ν
                       $238K $4K
                                     1971
 2s912 Hopper
                67811
                                           1800
                                                  Ν
public class House {
    private String streetAddr;
    private int zip;
    private int price;
    private int taxes;
    private long yearBuilt;
    private int sqFt;
    private boolean floodPlain;
House[] inventory = new House[100];
```

```
Address
                 ZIP
                        Price
                               Taxes Year Sq ft
                                                   Flood plain
 214 Hickory
                27148
                        $417K
                               $12K
                                       1987
                                             2120
                                                   Ν
 17 W Riverview
                               $7K
                                                   Υ
                30921
                        $295K
                                      1927
                                             3015
 55 Park NE
                81066
                       $528K $22K
                                      2012
                                             3525
                                                   Ν
                67811
                        $238K $4K
                                      1971
                                                   Ν
 2s912 Hopper
                                             1800
struct house {
    char* street_addr;
    int zip;
    int price;
    int taxes;
    time_t year_built;
    int sq_ft;
    int flood_plain;
};
struct house inventory[100];
```

Address	ZIP	Price	Taxes	Year	Sq ft	Flood plain
214 Hickory 17 W Riverview 55 Park NE 2s912 Hopper	27148 30921 81066 67811	\$417K \$295 \$528K \$238	\$12K \$7K \$22K \$4k	1987 1927 2012 1971	2120 3015 3525 1800	N Y N N
<pre>char* street_addr[100]; int zip[100]; int price[100]; int taxes[100]; time_t year_built[100]; int sq_ft[100]; int flood_plain[100];</pre>						

From Hadley Wickham, "Tidy Data", Journal of Statistical Software, Aug 2014, 59:10.

A dataset is a collection of values, usually either numbers (if quantitative) or strings (if qualitative). Values are organized in two ways. Every value belongs to a variable and an observation. A variable contains all values that measure the same underlying attribute (like height, temperature, duration) across units. An observation contains all values measured on the same unit (like a person, a day, or a race) across attributes.

Wickham, pg 3

Tidy data is a standard way of mapping the meaning of a dataset to its structure. A dataset is messy or tidy depending on how rows, columns, and tables are matched up with observations, variables, and types. In tidy data,

- 1. Each variable forms a column.
- 2. Each observation forms a row.
- 3. Each type of observational unit forms a table.

Wickham, pg 4

While not all data is numerical, it is often useful to consider data in a numerical format. [It is common to] assume that data has already been appropriately converted into a numerical representation suitable for reading into a computer program. Therefore, we think of data as vectors. As another illustration of how subtle words are, there are (at least) three different ways to think about vectors: a vector as an array of numbers (a computer science view), a vector as an arrow with a direction and magnitude (a physics view), and a vector as an object that obeys addition and scaling (a mathematical view).

Deisenroth et al, Mathematics for Machine Learning, pg 4

- N is the number of observations
- D is the number of variables/attributes, or dimensionality of the data
- **X** is the $N \times D$ data set as a matrix
- \vec{y} is the *N*-length vector of target values
- ightharpoonup n is used as an index into the data set, for example \mathbf{X}_n and \vec{y}_n
- ▶ *i* and *j* are used as indices into features, for example $\vec{x_i}$ where $\vec{x} = \mathbf{X}_n$



"Hello, Data."

Many machine learning problems become exceedingly difficult when the number of dimension in the data is high. This phenomenon is known as the **curse of dimensionality**. Of particular concern is that the number of possible distinct configurations of a set of variables increases exponentially as the number of variables increases.

Goodfellow et al, Deep Learning, pg 151

The origin of the problem [the curse of dimensionality] is illustrated in that if we divide a region of a space into regular cells, then the number of such cells grows exponentially with the dimensionality of the space. The problem with an exponentially large number of cells is that we would need an exponentially large quantity of training data in order to ensure that the cells are not empty.

Bishop, Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning, pg 35.

We are so used to living in three dimensions that our intuition fails us when we try to imagine a high-dimensional space. Even a basic 4D hypercube is incredibly hard to picture in our mind, let alone a 200-dimensional ellipsoid bent in a 1000-dimensional space.

It turns out that many things behave very differently in high-dimensional space. For example, if you pick a random point in a unit square, it will have only about a 0.4% chance of being located less than 0.001 from a border (in other words, it is very unlikely that a random point will be "extreme" along any dimension.) But in a 10000-dimensional unit hypercube, this probability is greater than 99.99999%. Most points in a high-dimensional hypercube are very close to the border.

In theory, one solution to the curse of dimensionality could be to increase the size of the training set to reach a sufficient density of training instances. Unfortunately, in practice, the number of training instances required to reach a given density grows exponentially with the number of dimensions. With just 100 features, you would need more training instances than atoms in the observable universe in order for the training instances to be within 0.1 of each other on average, assuming they were spread out uniformly across all dimensions.

Geron, Hand-On Machine Learning, pg 208–209

Coming up:

Due today, Wed, Jan 22:

Read Hadley Wickam, "Tidy Data", Sections 1-3. (Find pdf on Canvas.)

Due Thurs, Jan 23:

Take "objects and vectors" quiz

Due Fri, Jan 24:

Do numpy programming assignment

Due Wed, Jan 29:

Read and respond to article about the Boston Housing Dataset (Find pdf on Canvas.)

Due Mon, Feb 3:

Propose project topic